

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       |      | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------|------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/623,576            |      | 07/22/2003    | Hao-Cheng Chen       | 3722-0155P              | 6545             |  |
| 2292                  | 7590 | 02/23/2006    |                      | INER                    |                  |  |
|                       |      | T KOLASCH &   | HALEY, JOSEPH R      |                         |                  |  |
| PO BOX 74<br>FALLS CH | -    | VA 22040-0747 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
|                       | ,    |               |                      | 2653                    |                  |  |
|                       |      |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Applica   | tion No.   | Applicant(s)  |               |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/623,   | 576  | CHEN ET AL.   | CHEN ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examin  | er   | Art Unit  |               |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Joseph  |  | 2653  |               |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communic<br>or Reply   | cation appears on t   | he cover sheet wit   | h the correspondence a  | ddress        |  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA<br>nsions of time may be available under the provisions of<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu<br>o period for reply is specified above, the maximum state<br>re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we<br>reply received by the Office later than three months afted<br>patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ALING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. utory period will apply and rill, by statute, cause the a | THIS COMMUNIC<br>event, however, may a re-<br>will expire SIX (6) MONT<br>pplication to become ABA | ATION.  ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | •             |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed  | l on <i>22 July 2003</i> .  |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · ·  | , , ,   | b)⊠ This action is  | non-final.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | , <del>-</del>  |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims   |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the ap  | plication.  |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 5)   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠  | Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are rejected.  |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 7)🖂  | Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.   |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 8)□  | Claim(s) are subject to restrict  | ion and/or election   | requirement.   |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | on Papers   |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the   | Examiner.   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 10)🖂   | The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is   | s/are: a)⊠ accep  | ted or b) 🔲 object   | ed to by the Examiner.  |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any object   | tion to the drawing(s   | ) be held in abeyand   | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t  | the correction is requ  | uired if the drawing(s   | s) is objected to. See 37 C   | CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to  | by the Examiner. I  | Note the attached  | Office Action or form P   | TO-152.       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   | _  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority d   |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority d   |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies o   | , ,   |  | eceived in this Nationa   | il Stage      |  |  |  |  |
| * (  | application from the Internation  | •   | • ••   | agaired   |               |  |  |  |  |
|  | See the attached detailed Office action   | ioi a list of the ce  | ruiled copies not f  | eceiveu.  |               |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)  |   |  |   |               |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 🛛 Notic   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   |   | 4) Interview Su  | ımmary (PTO-413)  |               |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT   | O-948)  | Paper No(s)  | /Mail Date  | 5O 453\       |  |  |  |  |
|  | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F<br>r No(s)/Mail Date  | PTO/SB/08)  | 6) Other:  | ormal Patent Application (PT<br>_·  | IU-152)       |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/623,576 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims recite the limitation "or its similar sequence". This statement is indefinite because it is unclear what a similar sequence is to the claims 8 bit binary sequences.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how a difference signal could be generated between the reference wobble signal and the wobble pulse signal when the reference wobble signal generated by the PLL circuit has the same frequency and phase as the wobble pulse signal.

The following art rejections are made as best interpreted by the examiner in light of the objections above.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2653

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Minamino et al. (US 6657929).

In regard to claim 1, An ADIP demodulation apparatus, which is applied to an optical disk driver to generate ADIP information according to a wobble signal, the ADIP demodulation apparatus comprising (column 6 lines 42-47): a slicing unit for receiving the wobble signal and generating a wobble pulse by slicing the wobble signal (fig. 9 element 13); a phase locked loop for generating a reference wobble signal with the same frequency and phase as the wobble pulse according to the wobble pulse (fig. 9 element 14 see also column 12 lines 42-53); a channel bit generator for generating a channel bit signal according to the reference wobble signal and the wobble pulse (fig. 9 element 8); and a decoder for decoding to the ADIP information according to the channel bit signal (fig. 9 element 7); wherein the channel bit generator generates a difference signal between the reference wobble signal and the wobble pulse and generates the channel bit signal according to the difference signal (fig. 9 element 15b).

Method claim 7 is drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claim 1. Therefore method claim 7 corresponds to apparatus claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons of anticipation as used above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minamino et al. in view of the applicant's admitted prior art.

In regard to claim 8-10, Minamino et al. teaches the elements of claims 8-10 except the ADIP information is a sync data when the channel bit signal sequence is 11110000 or its similar sequence; the ADIP information is data 0 when the channel bit signal sequence is 10000011 or its similar sequence; and the ADIP information is data 1 when the channel bit signal sequence is 10001100 or its similar sequence.

The applicant's admitted prior art teaches the ADIP information is a sync data when the channel bit signal sequence is 11110000 or its similar sequence; the ADIP information is data 0 when the channel bit signal sequence is 10000011 or its similar sequence; and the ADIP information is data 1 when the channel bit signal sequence is 10001100 or its similar sequence (paragraph 5 lines 8-11).

The two are analogous art because they both deal with the same field of invention of generating address information from wobble signals.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the apparatus of Minamino with the channel bit sequences of the admitted prior art. The rationale is as follows: At the time of invention it would have

Application/Control Number: 10/623,576 Page 5

Art Unit: 2653

been obvious to provide the apparatus of Minamino with the channel bit sequences of the admitted prior art because these channel bit sequences are known and accepted in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to teach a counter for counting the width of high level of the difference signal corresponding to each wobble pulse using a counting clock and outputting a count value.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/623,576

Art Unit: 2653

#### Conclusion

Page 6

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takahashi (US 2003/0086348) teaches counters to determine whether or not the wobble signal is correct.

Eom (US 2003/0198164) teaches reducing detection errors in wobble signals.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Haley whose telephone number is 571-272-0574. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jrh g sm. M

TAN DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER

2/21/06